Effect of entomopathogenic fungi on different stages of *Calopepla leayana* Latr. (Chrysomelidae:Coleoptera), a major defoliator of *Gmelina arborea* (Roxb.) (Verbinaceae)

N. Senthilkumar Forest Protection Division Rain Forest Research Institute Jorhat – 785 001, Assam, India

The Gamhar, *Gmelina arborea* (Roxb.) is a commercially important fast growing multipurpose verbinaceous tree species in northeastern region of India. Calopepla *leavana* Latr. is the most destructive defoliator and is known to destroy more than 2000 acres of young plantations in a single year (Browne, 1968). In northeast India, monocultures of these species have been abandoned in past because of this pest (Ahamad, 1982). Earlier insecticides were thought to be panacea for an insect attack and used indiscriminately in controlling pest populations. Their deleterious effects on the environment and ecosystem when brought into light have limited their use especially in Application of microbial control is safe in the plantations and nurseries forestry. (Mohamed Ali et al., 1992). In India, very little work has been done on use of pathogens, especially mycopathogens in forestry sector. Sankaran et al. (1989) reported effective control of adults and larvae of C. leayana with single dose of B. bassiana $(1x10^5)$ spores/ml) irrespective of larval instars. So the present work was carried out to study the effect of two entomopathogenic fungi Beauveria bassiana and Metarhyzium anisopliae on different larval stages and adults of C. leavana. The experiment was conducted in the laboratory during April 2004 to September 2005. Newly hatched Ist, IInd, IIIrd, IVth, Vth instar larvae and adults were used. The fungal pathogens viz., B. bassiana and M. anisopliae were isolated form diseased adults of rice hispa, *Dicladispa* armigera (Olivier) (Coleoptera, Chrysomelidae) and C. leayana respectively (both the fungi were identified by Dr. A. N. Singh, Scientist (Pathologist) Forest Research Institute, Dehradun). They were cultured on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium. Spores were harvested in 0.1%

Tween – 80 solution in double distilled water. Concentration of spores/ml in the stock solution was determined by haemosytometer method. Different concentrations of spore suspension, as used in the experiment were made from the stock solution. The freshly prepared spore suspensions were sprayed on I-V larval instars and adults. Five treatments $(0, 1, 2.5, 5 \& 10 \% \text{ of } 1 \times 10^9 \text{ spores/ml of } B. bassiana \text{ and } M. anisopliae}))$ were given to each stage. Control where (0% spore suspension) 0.1% Tween – 80 solution in sterile double distilled water was used. Each treatment was replicated five times in a Completely Randomised Design (CRD) having 20 insects in each replicate. Observations were taken at every 24 hrs interval for the mortality of insects. Cause of death was confirmed with mycelial growth on the cadavers by visual observation. The mortality data obtained were converted into percent mortality by using Abbot's formula. The values obtained were tested through ANOVA using SPSS 10.1 software for ascertaining treatment differences.

The pathogenecity studies showed differential percent mortality with respect to different concentrations of the spore suspension (Table 1.). Both the fungi were found to be significantly pathogenic to *C. leyanana* causing white and green muscardine disease respectively. The similar disease condition was reported by Sandhu *et al.*, (1993) on teak pest, *Hyblaea purea*. The results clearly indicate the effective role of *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* in causing the mortality to both larvae and adults of *C.leayana*. It is evident from the results that *B. bassiana* was more pathogenic to the pest as compared to *M. anisopliae*. However, all the four fungal treatments were found highly significant to the control. The susceptibility of larvae and adults was negatively associated with the age and positively associated with the fungal spore concentration. In all the stages from I instar to adult, the highest mortality was observed in 10% of $1x10^9$ spores/ml concentration of *B. bassiana* (table 1.). Larvae of I instar have shown highest mortality,

which gradually decreased with the increase in age or decrease in the concentration of fungal spores. Similar observations was also made by Sankaran (1989) with *B. bassiana* at concentrations of 1×10^5 spores/ml. Based on the present study, it is suggested that both the fungi were attractive/potential candidates for inclusion in ecofriendly pest management of *C. leayana*.

Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to Director, Rain Forest Research Institute, Jorhat for providing facilities for this study. Dr. A. N. Singh, Scientist (Pathologist) is gratefully acknowledged for the identification of fungi. Thanks are also due to Department of Science and Technology (DST), Govt. of India for financial support through project, No. SP/YO/027/2004.

References

- Ahamad, S.I. 1982. Studies on the morphology, bionomics, ecology and digestive physiology of *Calopepla leayana* Latr. (Chrysomelidae:Coleoptera) Ph.D. Thesis.
- Browne, F.G. 1968. Pest and diseases of forest plantation trees. 1330 pp Claredon press. Oxford.
- Mohamed Ali, M.I., Vermeer, R.V. and Sudheendra Kumar, V.V. 1992. Microbial pathogens associated with forest insect pests in Kerala. In: Emerging trends in biological control of phytophagus insects (eds.). T.N. Ananathakrishnan. 144pp.
- Sandhu, S.S., Rajak, R.C. and Agarwal, G.P. 1993. Microbial control agents of forest pest at Jabalpur. *Ann. For.*, **1** (2): 136-140.
- Sankaran, K.V., Mohannadas, K. and Mohamed Ali, M.I. 1989. Beauveria bassiana (Bals) Vuill. A possible biocontrol agents against Milloceros viridanus Fabr. And Calopepla leayana Latr. In south India. Curr. Sci., 58 (8):467-469

Table 1.	Effect of different concentrations of B	. bassiana	and M.	anisopliae	on different
	stages of C. leayana.				

Concentration	Mortality (%)								
of 1x10 ⁹	Larval instars					Adult			
spores/ml	Ι	II	III	IV	V				
stock									
Beauveria bassiana									
0 (control)	19±4.18a	13±2.73a	11±6.51a	7±5.7a	3±4.47a	5±3.53a			
1	57±5.70b	50±3.53b	46±6.51b	40±5.34b	34±4.18b	28±4.47b			
	(23.67)	(24)	(22.09)	(22.50)	(25.18)	(10.14)			
2.5	66±9.61b	60±7.90c	57±8.36c	49±12.94b	43±5.70c	38±10.36c			
	(37.21)	(38.33)	(37.70)	(34.71)	(36.02)	(24.84)			
5	78±11.51c	71±4.18d	65±7.90c	58±2.73c	52±5.70d	42±4.47c			
	(53.64)	(52.69)	(48.08)	(45.93)	(46.23)	(30.10)			
10	96±4.18d	92±5.70e	85±6.12d	71±9.61c	67±4.47e	61±7.41d			
	(76.21)	(77.87)	(72.06)	(61.14)	(62.52)	(52.80)			
Metarhyzium anisopliae									
0 (control)	19±4.18a	13±2.73a	11±6.51	7±5.7a	3±4.47a	5±3.53a			
1	54±4.18b	45±3.53b	41±4.18b	34±4.18b	28±6.70b	23±5.70b			
	(18.18)	(16.11)	(14.17)	(13.41)	(17.29)	(1.26)			
2.5	62±5.70b	57±8.36c	51±4.18c	45±7.90c	40±5c	37±7.58c			
	(31.35)	(34.19)	(29.43)	(29.44)	(32.50)	(23.49)			
5	73±10.36c	67±7.58d	62±5.70d	56±4.18d	48±4.47d	44±2.23cd			
	(46.97)	(47.60)	(44.26)	(43.50)	(41.75)	(32.64)			
10	91±4.18d	87±5.70e	$76\pm9.61e$	$64\pm 6.51e$	$56\pm7.41e$	48±9.08d			